

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/449,021		EMMELMANN, HELMUT	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	CHUCK O. KENDALL		2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHUCK O. KENDALL. (3)_____.

(2) Richard Nebb. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed Claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Called Attorney (Richard Nebb) and Attorney agreed for examiner to enter proposed amendments of 11/05/07 into the record. Examiner will provide an office action based on the newly entered claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chuck O Kendall/

Examiner, Art Unit 2192

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required